

## PEER Support

- Helps kidney patients adjust to living with a chronic illness
- Improves well-being and survival
- Decreases feelings of isolation and depression
- Leads to better self-management
- Improves the health of the helper

# Benefits of Peer Support

IN PEOPLE WITH CHRONIC KIDNEY DISEASE

Studies show that peer support is effective for patients with kidney disease and in long-term dialysis therapy. Peer support has also been shown to be effective for patients with cancer, diabetes, heart disease, depression, HIV/AIDS, multiple sclerosis, brain injury, burns, amputation, and numerous other health conditions.

The National Kidney Foundation (NKF) has a new program that matches patients in need of support with peer mentors who are positive role models.

## **Benefits of Peer Support**

Living with a chronic illness requires education and emotional support to help make the necessary changes to cope and adapt. Yet in today's world, meeting these needs is a challenge for both patient and clinician alike. There is limited time during office visits for clinicians and their staff to alleviate all the fears or answer all the questions a patient might have, especially if the patient is newly diagnosed or is having trouble coming to terms with the disease. In addition, clinicians cannot provide the perspective of shared experience and common concerns.

Programs that mobilize peer support can help. They are effective and economical. Peer support programs use laypeople - often someone who is living with the same disease - to assist other patients in managing their own health.

Peer support is reported to be beneficial to patients with kidney disease and in long-term dialysis therapy.<sup>1-7</sup> It has also been shown to be effective in patients with cancer,<sup>8-16</sup> diabetes,<sup>17-19</sup> heart disease,<sup>20-23</sup> depression,<sup>24, 25</sup> HIV/AIDS (human immunodeficiency virus and acquired immune deficiency syndrome),<sup>26-32</sup> multiple sclerosis,<sup>33</sup> brain injury,<sup>34</sup> burns,<sup>35</sup> amputation,<sup>36</sup> and numerous other health conditions.

### SOCIAL SUPPORT IMPROVES SURVIVAL

Patients who are living with chronic kidney disease or starting treatment for kidney failure face many challenges. The emotional and economic stresses can feel overwhelming to patients and their families. This can have a profound effect on their quality of life and response to treatment. It can lead to depression and anxiety due to factors that include:<sup>37</sup>

- feeling poorly
- the need to make significant changes in lifestyle
- functional limitations and the need to adhere to treatment regimens (including dialysis schedules, diet prescription, and water restriction)
- comorbidities and related hospitalizations
- symptoms caused by advanced kidney disease or kidney failure
- fears and uncertainty about death, disability, and sexual decline
- loss of one's role and identity (including loss of employment)

Studies show that depression in patients with chronic disease is common. Feroze and colleagues report that it is 3 times higher in patients with kidney failure than in the general population.<sup>37</sup> It is also an independent risk factor for mortality and morbidity.<sup>37-41</sup> According to Drayer and colleagues, depressed patients with kidney failure have 4.1 times the

mortality rate of nondepressed patients (see Figure 1).<sup>42</sup> Moreover, there is a strong association between suicide and a depressed state of mind.<sup>43, 44</sup> Depression is estimated to account for a death rate of 0.2% per 1000 dialysis patient-years at risk.<sup>45</sup>

Feeling socially isolated is another problem for patients who are starting or living with dialysis. Kidney failure and its treatments can restrict daily activities, employment, family life, and social relationships. This can lead to feelings of isolation. Feeling socially isolated can be stressful and anxiety-provoking. This, in turn, can produce physiologic changes that affect the immune system<sup>46</sup> which, if prolonged, can lead to higher rates of illness and death.47,48

Social support helps. It has been shown to improve survival and quality of life in dialysis patients.<sup>48</sup> Sysmister and Friend report that optimism and self-esteem in patients with kidney failure increases with social support, which in turn decreases depression.<sup>37, 49</sup> Moreover, having social support has been consistently linked to better health outcomes in patients with cancer,<sup>9,12</sup> diabetes,<sup>17</sup> heart disease,<sup>22</sup> and other conditions.

### PEER SUPPORT IS EFFECTIVE **BECAUSE IT IS A SHARED EXPERIENCE**

Peer support works because patients are able to give each other something the clinician does not have – shared life experience. It is support from the perspective of someone who has "been there." Research shows that people often cope better when they interact with peers with whom they identify and share common experiences. In this way, feelings are validated, social isolation and stigma are reduced, hope for the future and optimism grows, and experiences are normalized.<sup>12</sup>

Peer mentoring has been shown to be highly effective in helping kidney patients adjust to dialysis and approach end-of-life planning, and in alleviating fears about kidney transplantation.<sup>1-6</sup> It also improves depression, social isolation, selfesteem, and self-management. This, in turn, leads to better health and survival.

#### Figure 1 Effect of depression on survival rates in hemodialysis patients



Reprinted with permission from Kopple and colleagues.<sup>3</sup>

Patients with kidney disease, kidney failure, or a kidney transplant can call

## 855.653.7337 (855-NKF-PEER)

to become a mentor or mentee. To learn more about the NKF's Peer Support program see back cover.

Kidney patients face many challenges

- Complex medical management for complications like hypertension, dyslipidemia, anemia, poor nutrition, mineral and bone disorder
- Risk for adverse outcomes like cardiovascular disease and kidney failure
- Adjustment to dietary prescription and reduced fluid intake when on maintenance dialysis
- Maintaining important roles relative to jobs, family, and friends
- Confronting their own mortality and potentially shortened life span
- Disruption of their activities due to complex medical plan and/or dialysis treatment schedule
- Coping with the anger, fear, anxiety, frustration, and sadness of having a chronic illness
- Recurrent hospitalizations and the discomfort of dialysis and other medical treatments
- Adjusting to "wellness" after a kidney transplant

## Can chronically ill patients mentor others?



Healthcare professionals may wonder whether chronically ill patients with limited training can mentor other patients. Research shows they can. In numerous studies, healthcare professionals have successfully used the skills of volunteer patients who were elderly, disadvantaged, or chronically ill.

Peer mentoring works because it is a mutual relationship that involves sharing real-life experiences with others who are facing similar challenges. Peer mentors do not offer medical advice. They are trained to refer patients back to the healthcare team if medical questions arise. Peer mentors provide:

- Empathy and understanding
- Confirmation that one is not alone in suffering
- Positive role models of coping
- Hope for the future

Furthermore, it is easier for many people to discuss a problem or concern with someone of their own age, background, or with similar health problems. Having support from someone who has 'been there, too' gives patients hope. It provides what the clinician cannot give – a shared experience with the potency of common concerns.

Figure 2 Correlation of hope with the quality of life in patients with kidney failure.



Hope was defined as an active cognitive process of belief in one's capacity to produce goals, as well as goal-directed determination/ energy and a positive sense of planning to meet goals. QOL indicates quality of life.

\*P < .001 ±P < .05

Reprinted with permission from Kopple and colleagues.<sup>37</sup>

## Peer support helps people adjust

As kidney disease progresses to kidney failure, patients must begin evaluating treatments to decide which is right for them-dialysis or transplantation. For many, this is a stressful time. Not only must they adjust to life with a chronic illness, but they must make difficult choices about treatments that will profoundly impact their lives. In one study, many patients "described the 'shock' of being told they must soon start treatment for kidney failure and said they had found it helpful to talk to other patients." However, the conversations mostly happened by chance in clinic waiting rooms. The patients suggested creating a formal peer support system in which patients who are about to begin treatment can speak with others who have had experience with dialysis and transplantation.<sup>3</sup>

## Helping others improves the health of the helper

Providing social support to others can improve the health of the helper. Evidence shows that people who provide social support experience higher rates of physical health, life satisfaction, and lower rates of depression. They are more optimistic about their chances to live longer. 50-55

### Sense of Purpose



One way in which volunteering improves well-being is through the sense of purpose it provides, especially in older adults. Kidney failure disproportionately affects older adults. Stevens and colleagues report that the median age of new dialysis patients is 65 years, and the fastest growing age group is over 75 years.<sup>56</sup> Recent data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Study (NHANES) suggest that kidney disease is present in 38% of people over 65 years old compared with 13% in the overall US population.57

competence.

### Acceptance

Mentoring others helps people in numerous ways. In one study, people with kidney failure came to terms with their own illness from helping others newly diagnosed with kidney failure.<sup>62</sup> In depressed patients, research shows that, in addition to receiving support, the act of helping others may be an important mechanism by which peer relationships improve depression. <sup>25, 50, 52-54, 65</sup>





Social support has been shown to improve the well-being of people of all ages, including chronically-ill adolescents,58 young people with anorexia<sup>59</sup> or HIV/AIDS,<sup>27, 28, 30</sup> and breastfeeding mothers.<sup>60</sup> It has been known to benefit survivors of breast cancer<sup>10, 61</sup> and people with depression.<sup>24, 25</sup> It helps those with or without a chronic illness. Simply put, the very act of helping others makes people feel good about themselves. It fosters a sense of accomplishment and

**Knowledge Gain** 



Helping others can reinforce the self-health skills of mentors. Those who help others become more knowledgeable and confident in their skills. As a result, they become better at managing their own health. In studies of patients with diabetes, peer mentors found meaning and experienced positive reinforcement for their own self-care by supporting their partner's efforts to manage diabetes.<sup>17, 18</sup> This benefit has also been noted in patients with cancer,<sup>61</sup> heart disease,<sup>22</sup> kidney disease,<sup>62</sup> physical disabilities,<sup>63</sup> chronic pain,<sup>64</sup> and other conditions.

### Self Help

As people age, they experience important losses. Studies show that volunteering helps older adults compensate for critical losses incurred later in life, such as the loss of a spouse, job, or losing the role of being a parent.<sup>66, 67</sup> By helping others, they help themselves. By supporting others, they protect themselves from social isolation and physical decline.

## Telephone-based peer support is effective

Peer support programs are implemented in many different ways — group settings or in one-on-one encounters. Interaction can be face-to-face, or by telephone, email, or internet. Telephone-based support has been studied in numerous patient populations, and it has been shown to be effective in patients with kidney failure,<sup>5</sup> cancer,<sup>8, 16</sup> diabetes,<sup>18, 19</sup> heart disease,<sup>20, 22</sup> depression,<sup>25</sup> HIV/AIDS,<sup>32</sup> osteoarthritis,<sup>68</sup> pain management,<sup>64</sup> and other conditions. Among other benefits, mentoring by telephone can increase the quality and quantity of support between clinic visits, leading to better self-management, improved well-being, and better health outcomes. This is especially useful to those who are tackling challenging medical tasks, such as adjusting to chronic kidney disease, kidney failure, kidney transplant, or insulin management.

#### • **PROVIDES ANONYMITY**

Participants appreciate the relative anonymity of the calling system. In one study of telephone-based mutual peer support for depression, participants reported that they found the calling system "more helpful than group therapy because it's easier to be open and honest over the phone. You don't feel as afraid of judgment, and you don't have to censor yourself.<sup>25</sup>

#### ELIMINATES DISTANCE BARRIERS

Telephone-based peer support can help bridge the isolation gap for many patients, especially those who are elderly, frail, or isolated due to geography. In some rural communities, for example, there is often a lack of services which, for many, can lead to a perception of 'being alone'

in the struggle to become better.<sup>8</sup> In a study of patients with hemophilia and HIV/AIDS, participants reported that the telephone-based support had decreased their feelings of isolation and loneliness.

#### A VALUED RESOURCE

Telephone-based peer support is a valuable resource for patients who do not have internet access or email — which is common among patients with kidney disease or kidney failure.

### • IMPROVES POST-OPERATIVE CARE

In post-operative care where distance and geography restrict access, telephonebased peer support can help improve recovery outcomes after discharge. It has been shown to be beneficial for patients recovering from coronary by-pass surgery<sup>20</sup> and other health problems.

Patients are often given printed materials to help them learn about and adjust to an illness. But for many patients, peer counseling may be more effective than printed materials because it uses oral, rather than written, traditions.<sup>5</sup>

For many patients, telephone-based support is preferable to face-to-face support because it:

- Provides participants with anonymity and privacy
- Eliminates distance barriers and the difficulties of attendina regular face-to-face meetings
- Allows for frequent patient contacts at a low cost



## For your patients

A flyer describing the Peers program to patients can be downloaded free at: www.nkfcares.org

### References

1. Faulk JS. Peer-to-peer transplant mentor program: the San Diego experience. Transplant Proc. Jun 1999:31(4A):75S.

2. Gorynski L, Knight F. A peer support group for adolescent dialysis patients. Anna J. Jun 1992;19(3):262-264.

3. Hughes J, Wood E, Smith G. Exploring kidney patients' experiences of receiving individual peer support. Health Expect. Dec 2009;12(4):396-406.

4. Leshowitz M. Florida support group helps to ease fears of transplantation. Nephrol News Issues. Apr 1995;9(4):24-25.

5. Perry E, Swartz J, Brown S, Smith D, Kelly G, Swartz R. Peer mentoring: a culturally sensitive approach to end-of-life planning for long-term dialysis patients Am J Kidney Dis. Jul 2005:46(1):111-119

6. Brinker KJ. Lichtenstein VR. Value of a self-help group in the psychosocial adjustment of end-stage renal disease clients and their families. J Am Assoc Nephrol Nurses Tech. Aug 1981;8(4):23-27.

7. Kapron K, Perry E, Bowman T, Swartz RD. Peer resource consulting: redesigning a new future.

Adv Ren Replace Ther. Jul 1997;4(3):267-274. 8. Colon Y. Telephone support groups: a nontraditional approach to reaching underserved cancer patients. Cancer Pract. May-Jun 1996;4(3):156-159.

9. Campbell HS. Phaneuf MR. Deane K. Cancer peer support programs-do they work? Patient Educ Couns. Oct 2004;55(1):3-15.

10. Sutton LB. Frlen JA. Effects of mutual dvad support on quality of life in women with breast cancer. Cancer Nurs. Nov-Dec 2006;29(6):488-498.

11. Rankin N, Williams P, Davis C, Girgis A. The use and acceptability of a one-on-one peer support program for Australian women with early breast cancer Patient Educ Couns. May 2004;53(2):141-146.

12. Dunn J, Steginga SK, Rosoman N, Millichap D. A review of peer support in the context of cancer Journal of Psychosocial Oncology. 2003;21(2):55-67. 13. Coreil J, Behal R. Man to Man prostate cancer sup-

port groups. Cancer Pract. May-Jun 1999;7(3):122-129. 14. Ashbury FD, Cameron C, Mercer SL, Fitch M,

Nielsen E. Óne-on-one peer support and quality of life for breast cancer patients. Patient Educ Couns. Oct 1998;35(2):89-100.

15. Cameron C, Ashbury FD, Iverson DC. Perspectives on Reach to Recovery and CanSurmount: informing the evaluation model. Cancer Prev Control. Jun 1997;1(2):102-107.

16. Rudy RR. Rosenfeld LB. Galassi JP. Parker J. Schanbera R. Participants' perceptions of a peer-helper. telephone-based social support intervention for melanoma patients. Health Commun. 2001;13(3):285-305. 17. Heisler M. Different models to mobilize peer support

to improve diabetes self-management and clinical outcomes: evidence, logistics, evaluation considerations and needs for future research Fam Pract, Jun 2010:27 Suppl 1:i23-32

18. Heisler M. Piette 1D. "I help you, and you help me" facilitated telephone peer support amona patients with diabetes. Diabetes Educ. Nov-Dec 2005;31(6):869-879.

19. Heisler M, Vijan S, Makki F, Piette JD. Diabetes control with reciprocal peer support versus nurse care management: a randomized trial Ann Intern Med, Oct 19:153(8):507-515

20. Parry MJ. Watt-Watson J. Hodnett F. Tranmer J. Dennis CL, Brooks D. Cardiac Home Education and Support Trial (CHEST): a pilot study. Can J Cardiol. Dec 2009:25(12):e393-398

21. Winder PA, Hiltunen EF, Sethares KA, Butzlaff A, Partnerships in mending hearts: nurse and peer intervention for recovering cardiac elders. J Cardiovasc Nurs. May-Jun 2004;19(3):184-191.

22. Heisler M, Halasyamani L, Resnicow K, et al. "I am not alone": the feasibility and acceptability of interactive voice response-facilitated telephone peer support amona older adults with heart failure. Congest Heart Fail. May-Jun 2007;13(3):149-157

23. Whittemore R. Rankin SH. Callahan CD. Leder MC. Carroll DL. The peer advisor experience providing social support. Qual Health Res. Mar 2000;10(2):260-276.

45. Kimmel PL. Peterson RA. Depression in end-stage renal disease patients treated with hemodialysis; tools, correlates, outcomes, and needs, Semin Dial. Mar-Apr 2005;18(2):91-97.

Jul;4(3):173-180.

24. Ho AP. A peer counselling program for the elderly with depression living in the community. Aging Ment Health, Jan 2007:11(1):69-74.

25. Travis J, Roeder K, Walters H, et al. Telephonebased mutual peer support for depression: a pilot study, Chronic IIIn, Sep:6(3):183-191

26. Boudin K, Carrero I, Clark J, et al. ACE: a peer education and counseling program meets the needs of incarcerated women with HIV/AIDS issues. J Assoc Nurses AIDS Care. Nov-Dec 1999;10(6):90-98.

27. Hilfinger Messias DK, Moneyham L, Vyavaharkar M, Murdaugh C, Phillips KD. Embodied work: insider perspectives on the work of HIV/AIDS peer counselors. Health Care Women Int. Jul 2009:30(7):572-594.

28. Luna GC, Rotheram-Borus MJ. Youth living with HIV as peer leaders. Am J Community Psychol. Feb 1999;27(1):1-23.

29. Magura S, Siddiqi Q, Shapiro J, et al. Outcomes of an AIDS prevention program for methadone patients. Int J Addict. Jun 1991;26(6):629-655.

30. McLean DA. A model for HIV risk reduction and prevention among African American college students. J Am Coll Health. Mar 1994;42(5):220-223.

31. Molassiotis A. Callaghan P. Twinn SE Lam SW. Chung WY. Li CK. A pilot study of the effects of cognitivebehavioral group therapy and peer support/counseling in decreasing psychologic distress and improving quality of life in Chinese patients with symptomatic HIV disease. AIDS Patient Care STDS. Feb 2002;16(2):83-96.

32. Stewart MJ, Hart G, Mann K, Jackson S, Langille L, Reidy M. Telephone support group intervention for persons with hemophilia and HIV/AIDS and family careaivers. Int J Nurs Stud. Apr 2001:38(2):209-225.

33. Mohr DC, Burke H, Beckner V, Merluzzi N, A preliminary report on a skills-based telephone-administered peer support programme for patients with multiple sclerosis. Mult Scler. Apr 2005;11(2):222-226.

34. Hibbard MR. Cantor J. Charatz H. et al. Peer support in the community: initial findings of a mentoring program for individuals with traumatic brain injury and their families, J Head Trauma Rehabil. Apr 2002;17(2):112-131.

35. Williams RM, Patterson DR, Schwenn C, Day 1, Bartman M, Engrav LH. Evaluation of a peer consultation program for burn inpatients. 2000 ABA paper. J Burn Care Rehabil. Nov-Dec 2002;23(6):449-453.

36. Wells LM, Schachter B, Little S, Whylie B, Balogh PA. Enhancing rehabilitation through mutual aid: outreach to people with recent amputations. Health Soc Work. Aug 1993;18(3):221-229.

37. Feroze U, Martin D, Reina-Patton A, Kalantar-Zadeh K. Kopple JD. Mental health, depression, and anxiety in patients on maintenance dialysis, Iran J Kidney Dis.

38. Hedayati SS, Bosworth HB, Briley LP, et al. Death or hospitalization of patients on chronic hemodialysis is associated with a physician-based diagnosis of depression. Kidney Int. Oct 2008;74(7):930-936.

39. Hedayati SS, Grambow SC, Szczech LA, Stechuchak KM, Allen AS, Bosworth HB, Physiciandiagnosed depression as a correlate of hospitalizations in patients receiving long-term hemodialysis. Am J Kidney Dis. Oct 2005;46(4):642-649.

40. Kimmel PL, Peterson RA, Weihs KL, et al. Multiple measurements of depression predict mortality in a ongitudinal study of chronic hemodialysis outpatients. Kidney Int. May 2000;57(5):2093-2098

41. Lopes AA, Bragg J, Young E, et al. Depression as a predictor of mortality and hospitalization among hemodialysis patients in the United States and Europe. Kidney Int. Jul 2002;62(1):199-207

42. Draver RA, Piraino B, Revnolds CF, 3rd, et al. Characteristics of depression in hemodialysis patients: symptoms, quality of life and mortality risk. Gen Hosp Psychiatry. Jul-Aug 2006;28(4):306-312.

43. Kimmel PL. Psychosocial factors in dialysis patients. Kidney Int. Apr 2001;59(4):1599-1613.

44. Kimmel PL. Depression in patients with chronic renal disease: what we know and what we need to know. J Psychosom Res. Oct 2002;53(4):951-956.

46. Steptoe A, Owen N, Kunz-Ebrecht SR, Brydon L. Loneliness and neuroendocrine, cardiovascular, and inflammatory stress responses in middle-aged men and women. Psychoneuroendocrinology. Jun 2004:29(5):593-611

47. House JS. Social isolation kills, but how and why? Psychosom Med. Mar-Apr 2001;63(2):273-274.

48. Thong MS, Kaptein AA, Krediet RT, Boeschoten EW, Dekker FW. Social support predicts survival in dialysis patients. Nephrol Dial Transplant. Mar 2007;22(3):845-850.

49. Symister P, Friend R. The influence of social support and problematic support on optimism and depression in chronic illness: a prospective study evaluating self-esteem as a mediator. Health Psychol. Mar 2003;22(2):123-129.

50. Krause N, Herzog AR, Baker E. Providing support to others and well-being in later life. J Gerontol. Sep 1992;47(5):P300-311

51. West DA, Kellner R, Moore-West M. The effects of Ioneliness: a review of the literature. Compr Psychiatry Jul-Aug 1986;27(4):351-363.

52. Musick MA, Wilson J. Volunteering and depression: the role of psychological and social resources in differ ent age groups. Soc Sci Med. Jan 2003;56(2):259-269.

53. Wheeler JA, Gorey KM, Greenblatt B. The beneficial effects of volunteering for older volunteers and the people they serve: a meta-analysis. Int J Aging Hum Dev. 1998;47(1):69-79.

54. Van Willigen M. Differential benefits of volunteering across the life course. J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci. Sep 2000:55(5):S308-318.

55. Brown SL, Nesse RM, Vinokur AD, Smith DM. Providing social support may be more beneficial than receiving it: results from a prospective study of mortality. Psychol Sci. Jul 2003:14(4):320-327.

56. Stevens LA, Li S, Wang C, et al. Prevalence of CKD and comorbid illness in elderly patients in the United States: results from the Kidney Early Evaluation Program (KEEP). Am J Kidney Dis. Mar;55(3 Suppl 2):S23-33.

57. Coresh J, Selvin E, Stevens LA, et al. Prevalence of chronic kidney disease in the United States. Jama. Nov 7 2007;298(17):2038-2047.

58. Mogtader EM, Leff PT. "Young healers": chronically ill adolescents as child life assistants. Child Health Care Winter 1986;14(3):174-177.

59. McCormack A. Individuals with eating disorders and the use of online support aroups as a form of social support. Comput Inform Nurs. Jan-Feb;28(1):12-19.

60. Merewood A, Philipp BL. Peer counselors for breastfeeding mothers in the hospital setting: trials, training, tributes, and tribulations. J Hum Lact. Feb 2003;19(1):72-76.

61. Mathews B, Backer F, Hann D, Denniston M, Smith T. Health status and life satisfaction amona breast cancer survivor peer support volunteers. Psycho-Oncology 2002:11:199-211.

62. Brunier G, Graydon J, Rothman B, Sherman C, Liadsky R. The psychological well-being of renal peer support volunteers. J Adv Nurs. Apr 2002;38(1):40-49.

63. Evans J, Livneh H. Peer counseling: a training program. J Rehabil. Jan-Mar 1982;48(1):52-55.

64. Arnstein P, Vidal M, Wells-Federman C, Morgan B, Caudill M. From chronic pain patient to peer: benefits and risks of volunteering. Pain Manag Nurs. Sep 2002:3(3):94-103.

65. Schwartz CE, Sendor M. Helping others helps oneself: response shift effects in peer support. Soc Sci Med. Jun 1999;48(11):1563-1575.

66. Li Y, Ferraro KF. Volunteering and depression in later life: social benefit or selection processes? J Health Soc Behav. Mar 2005;46(1):68-84.

67. Morrow-Howell N, Hinterlong J, Rozario PA, Tang F. Effects of volunteering on the well-being of older adults. J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci. May 2003:58(3):\$137-145.

68. Rene J, Weinberger M, Mazzuca SA, Brandt KD, Katz BP. Reduction of joint pain in patients with knee osteoarthritis who have received monthly telephone calls from lay personnel and whose medical treatment reaimens have remained stable. Arthritis Rheum. May 1992;35(5):511-515

### WHAT CAN YOU DO?

- Tell patients who are interested in being a peer mentor or mentee to call: 855.653.7337 or email: nkfpeers@kidney.org
- To learn more about NKF's Peers program, visit: www.nkfcares.org

## PEERS lending support

## What is NKF's Peers Lending Support?

"Peers Lending Support (Peers)" is a new patient program from the National Kidney Foundation (NKF) that matches patients in need of support with peer mentors who are positive role models.

Mentoring takes place by telephone. Participants call a toll-free, automated telephone system to connect to each other. They do not disclose their personal phone number or incur long-distance charges. The automated telephone system allows participants to leave voicemail messages for their peer partners, and block calls at certain hours. Telephone services are provided free-of-charge by the NKF.

Potential peer partners are interviewed, screened, and appropriately matched. Guidance and oversight of the peer relationship is provided by an expert clinician from the NKF. Peer mentors complete a comprehensive telephone-based training program and post-training assessment before being matched with a mentee. Peers do not offer medical advice. They refer patients back to the healthcare team if medical questions arise. Access to an educational website specifically designed for patients and their families is also available.

### How do your patients qualify

#### To qualify as peer mentors, a patient must:

- Have access to a telephone
- Have made a positive adjustment to living with chronic kidney disease, kidney failure, or a kidney transplant
- Be a positive role model that others can relate to, identify with, and learn from
- Be willing to complete a comprehensive telephone-based training program
- Be able to communicate well with others
- Be culturally sensitive, empathetic, and understanding
- Speak English to participate in training
- Be competent to serve as a mentor after training

#### To be matched with a peer mentor, a patient must:

- Have access to a telephone
- Be willing to be interviewed, assessed, and matched with a peer mentor
- Have at least one of the following:
  - o Be newly diagnosed with chronic kidney disease
  - o Need help adjusting to chronic kidney disease or kidney failure
  - o Be new to dialysis
  - o Be considering a kidney transplantation or already have a kidney transplant



30 East 33rd Street New York, NY 10016 212.889.2210 www.kidney.org Supported by

Bristol-Myers Squibb

UNOVARTIS AFFYMAX

Genentech



**AMCEN** 

©2009 National Kidney Foundation, Inc. All rights reserved. 02-10-0204\_ABC